Rochester Oct 4 '60

Lysander Spooner Esq.

My d Sir,

I wrote you yesterday morning that I was just heading home for a few days - on reading (it point) I met
with Mr Miller on his return from Boston. the only one I
had with him to hading me your letter enclosing me the \$30. I again
send you \$20 for I think you had better go to New York.
I am very desirous that the remaining members of the Committee
be sued. 1 st because justice & call for it. 2d because then
a settlement of the whole matter wil some
No. in compaint to No. Youle company have a grouph to Man
Now, in your visit to New York, you may hear enough to Mr
Sedgwick of his fear of lacking testimony to such a I
see be there to bringing such a suit.
1 st Lack of Testimony
2d The argument for changing venue from the where one
plaintiff where the $\frac{27}{11}$ (the one of the 28
indeed) aside would be a formidable one.
24 To a soit and 27 inflored 1 continue it could be
3d In a suit against 27 influential gentlemen, it would ne
rather strange if there should not be in the jury an friend
of at least on of them.
Managathalasa Taradal inhaha sait if asaw Ma Cadamiah shaald
Nevertheless, I would ink the suit if your Mr Sedgwick should
agree to publicly that there would be no lack of him.
I feel quite confident that there would not be.
Vour suggestion that in and a suit we should alaim ton thousand
Your suggestion that in end a suit we should claim ten thousand
of each one